UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

CASE NO. 25-CA-219925

Alcoa Corporation,

and

United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers Local 104

ALCOA CORPORATION'S EXCEPTIONS TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S DECISION

Prepared by:

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK AND STEWART, P.C.

Sarah M. Rain, Esquire 111 Monument Circle, Suite 4600 Indianapolis, IN 46204 317.916.2167 (phone) 317.916.9076 (fax)

Counsel for Respondent

Dated: April 24, 2019

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

ALCOA CORPORATION

and

Case No. 25-CA-219925

UNITED STEEL, PAPER AND FORESTRY, RUBBER, MANUFACTURING, ENERGY, ALLIED INDUSTRIAL AND SERVICE WORKERS LOCAL 104

RESPONDENT'S EXCEPTIONS TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S DECISION

Pursuant to Section 102.46 of the National Labor Relations Board's Rules and Regulations, Alcoa Corporation ("Respondent") respectfully files the following exceptions to the March 27, 2019 Decision of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Paul Bogas.

I. EXCEPTIONS TO THE FINDINGS, RULINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE ALJ

- 1. To the finding that Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) of the National Labor Relations Act ("the Act") when Labor Relations Specialist Terrence Carr ("Carr") "directed employees who he interviewed as part of the investigation of [Ron] Williams' conduct that the interviews were confidential and should not be disclosed." (D. 5:47-49; 10:40-44).
- 2. To the finding that Respondent did not have a "legitimate basis for withholding the witness names" and violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act by failing to provide the names of hourly employees who provided statements to Respondent as part of its investigation into Williams' misconduct. (D. 9:9-16; 11:1-5)).
- 3. To the implication that Respondent had some malicious intent in failing to

provide the dates of interviews. (D.10, fn. 6).

- 4. To the finding that Respondent violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act when it "unreasonably delayed providing the Union with the dates of the employee witness interviews that the Union requested." (D. 10:27-29; 11:7-9).
- 5. To the finding that Respondent "failed to meet its burden of establishing that the Union no longer has any need" for the witness names where the arbitration hearing has concluded and the parties are merely awaiting a decision. (D. 11:29-47).

II. CONCLUSION

For these reasons, Respondent respectfully asks that the exceptions of Respondent Alcoa Corporation be granted.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of April, 2019.

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Sarah M. Rain</u>
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH,
SMOAK AND STEWART, P.C.

Sarah M. Rain, Esquire 111 Monument Circle, Suite 4600 Indianapolis, IN 46204 317.916.2167 (phone) 317.916.9076 (fax)

Counsel for Respondent

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

In the Matter of:)	
ALCOA CORPORATION)	
Respondent,)	Case No. 25-CA-219925
1 /)	
and)	
)	
UNITED STEEL, PAPER AND FORESTRY,)	
RUBBER, MANUFACTURING, ENERGY,)	
ALLIED INDUSTRIAL AND SERVICE)	
WORKERS LOCAL 104,)	
)	
Charging Party.)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that on April 24, 2019, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Exceptions to the Administrative Law Judge's Decision was *Electronically Filed* on the NLRB's website http://www.nlrb.gov.

Also, I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Exceptions to the Administrative Law Judge's Decision has been served by electronic mail this 24th day of April, 2019 on: Raifael Williams at Raifael.Williams@nlrb.gov and Marty Ellison at mellison@usw.org.

By:	/s/ Sarah M. Rain	
Co	ounsel for Alcoa Corporation	

38115868.1